Review of an article considered for publication in "PRZEGLAD BIBLIOTECZNY" (LIBRARY REVIEW)				
Title:				
GENERAL OPINION (indicate one of the following items)				
		YES	NO	
1.	The article is acceptable for publication			
2.	The article is acceptable after minor corrections			
3.	The article is not acceptable as submitted; major changes are required			
4.	The article does not merit publication			
EVA	LUATION			
CONT	TENTS	YES	NO	
1.	Does the article reflect current state of the art?			
2.	Is the topic and/or its handling innovative?			
3.	Are the objectives clear?			
4.	Is the research method described adequately?			
5.	Is the choice of method and its application correct?			
6.	Are the interpretations and conclusions plausible?			
7.	Are references selected and used well?			
8.	Has the article or its part(s) been published earlier (to the best of Reviewer's knowledge)?			
9.	Is the subject compatible with the profile of the quarterly? If not, what other journal can be suggested to the author?			
E		YES	No	
Form : 1.	Is the title clear and adequate to contents?	123	110	
2.	Is the structure logical?			
3.	Is the language correct grammatically and stylistically?			
4.	Can the text be shortened? Which parts?			
4.	Can the text be shortened: which parts:			
5.	Are illustrations (drawings, graphs, tables) chosen and used well?			
6.	Are references and notes consistent with required principles?			
7.	Is the summary done correctly? Does it include concerning hypothesis, aim/objective, research method, findings, and conclusions?			

GENERAL REMARKS:				
Please, provide detailed remarks separately or within the article.				
Reviewer:				